

Jt Dis Relat Surg Case Rep 2022;1(3):107-110



Talar fracture accompanying a Jones fracture

Ahmet Yıldırım¹, Erdem Aktaş², Baybars Ataoğlu³, Mustafa Özer⁴

¹Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey ²Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, TOBB ETU Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey ³Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Gazi University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey ⁴Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Necmettin Erbakan University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey

First described by Sir Robert Jones in 1902, fifth metatarsal fractures are the most common injury of the foot after soft tissue traumas.^[1] Metatarsal fractures are also the most common fracture involving the foot with a rate of 67/100,000, and fifth metatarsal fractures count for 70% of metatarsal fractures.^[2] These fractures are often caused by an indirect trauma mechanism and have high healing potential.^[3]

As described by Lawrence and Botte,^[4] fractures in the proximal end of the fifth metatarsal can be classified into three groups according to the influence of the anatomical structure of the peroneus brevis muscle and the peroneus tertius muscle, which are attached to the proximal and distal parts of the fifth metatarsal, respectively, and the mechanism of the trauma. Although Jones type 2 fractures, a transverse fracture at the metaphyseal-diaphyseal junction, are easy to recognize, delayed union or malunion has

Received: January 17, 2022 Accepted: February 02, 2022 Published online: February 25, 2022

Correspondence: Ahmet Yıldırım, MD. Selçuk Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Ortopedi ve Travmatoloji Anabilim Dalı, 42250 Selçuklu, Konya, Türkiye.

E-mail: dr1907@gmail.com

Doi: 10.52312/jdrscr.2022.81

Citation: Yıldırım A, Aktaş E, Ataoğlu B, Özer M. Talar fracture accompanying a Jones fracture. Jt Dis Relat Surg Case Rep 2022;1(3):107-110.

©2022 All right reserved by the Turkish Joint Diseases Foundation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

ABSTRACT

Fractures involving the fifth metatarsal are the most common foot injury after soft tissue traumas. We report a 30-year-old male patient diagnosed with a right foot Jones fracture after an inversion injury. The ankle was immobilized for four weeks with an ankle stabilization brace. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed, and an impacted subchondral fracture line in the neck of the talus and severe bone marrow edema was observed, in addition to the Jones fracture. The immobilization period was extended, and bone marrow edema recovered after four months. However, chronic regional pain syndrome occurred as a complication. The patient received both medical therapy and physiotherapy for six months. At the end of one year, the patient fully recovered with no pain during full weight bearing and active range of motion of the ankle. In conclusion, MRI can be a valuable diagnostic tool to detect an unexpected talus fracture resulting from excessive inversion of the foot in plantar flexion, particularly in young patients.

Keywords: Bone marrow edema, chronic regional pain syndrome, Jones fracture, magnetic resonance imaging, metatarsal, talus fracture.

been most commonly reported in this type of fracture in the literature.^[5] Although talonavicular dislocations concurrent with a Jones fracture have been reported in the literature, to the best of our knowledge, Jones fracture accompanied with talar fracture is the first to be reported.^[5,6] According to Ottawa foot rules, conventional radiographs are adequate in patients with tenderness and swelling at the fifth metatarsal, and additional imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) are not necessary.^[7]

Concurrent tarsal bone fractures other than navicular fracture are not common in orthopedic practice, and thus, MRI or CT is not considered necessary for diagnosis and follow-up of Jones fractures except those that are due to stress



Figure 1. Early fracture line at the proximal end of the fifth metatarsal on oblique radiographs.



Figure 2. Impacted subchondral fracture line and cortical discontinuity at the neck of talus on (a) T1 -and (b) T2-weighted magnetic resonance images.

fractures.^[8] Herein, we present a patient diagnosed with a fifth metatarsal fracture accompanying a Jones fracture.

CASE REPORT

A 30-year-old male patient, working as a specialist physician, was admitted to the emergency department after a plantar flexion-inversion injury due to a fall above 40 cm in height and was considered as a simple trauma. Physical examination revealed severe pain, tenderness, and swelling on the proximal part of the right fifth metatarsal. The initia I diagnosis was Jones fracture and was confirmed with conventional radiography revealing a fracture at the metaphyseal-diaphyseal junction of the fifth metatarsal (Figure 1). A short leg splint was applied in the early stage, followed by a four-week immobilization with an angle adjustable ankle stabilization brace in a fixed flexion angle of 90°.

At the end of four weeks, MRI was performed due to severe pain, which occurred after partial weight bearing. In addition to Jones fracture, severe bone marrow edema, an impacted subchondral fracture line, and cortical discontinuity at the neck of the talus were observed (Figure 2). Cortical and medullary irregularity at the base of the



Figure 3. Cortical and medullary irregularity at the base of the fourth metatarsal on a T1-weighted magnetic resonance image.



Figure 4. Oblique radiograph of the healed Jones fracture taken after four months demonstrating osteoporosis compatible with complex regional pain syndrome.

fourth metatarsal was also noted in MRI, with no accompanying clinical findings (Figure 3). Upon closer reinspection, this finding was identified on the initial radiograph as well (Figure 1). Thus, the immobilization period was extended with clinical and radiographic follow-up in addition to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug treatment. The initially localized bone marrow edema at the talar neck region recovered four months post-injury, and the patient was able to bear weight without pain, whereas chronic regional pain syndrome occurred as a complication with splotchy marrow edema throughout the bones of the foot and distal tibia, as seen on radiographs (Figure 4a-c). As a form of chronic regional pain syndrome, clinical



Figure 5. Radiograph of the healed bone displaying osteoporosis.

manifestations of reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome (RSDS) were apparent with swelling, pain, burning sensation, and typical skin changes in the affected extremity. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy syndrome was treated with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, progressive weight bearing, massage, contrast bath therapy, and topical analgesics. Although the patient fully recovered from the concomitant Talar neck fracture at the end of four months, the patient fully recovered from RDS, both clinically and radiologically, only after one year, despite the aggressive treatment initiated as soon as the diagnosis was made (Figure 5). A written informed consent was obtained from the patient for the publication of this case report.

DISCUSSION

Conventional radiography is reported to be sufficient for the diagnosis and follow-up of Jones fractures, excluding stress fractures.^[3,8,9] Magnetic resonance imaging or CT is generally not required in these cases.

Although a rare case of talonavicular dislocation concurrent with Jones fracture has been reported, talus fracture as a result of the Jones fracture mechanism has not been discussed in the literature up to date.^[6] In our case, it was speculated that the talus fracture occurred due to the initial contact with the ground following the 40 cm fall, and afterward, the flexion-inversion mechanism led to the Jones fracture. Post-injury four-week MRI images, revealing localized bone marrow edema accompanied by an impacted fracture line and cortical discontinuity at the neck of the talus, were the distinctive findings for a talar neck fracture. However, the generalized, more splotchy-like bone marrow edema appearance observed in the follow-up images as a consequence of RSDS has to be distinguished from concomitant fracture edema with its previously mentioned typical radiographic and clinical findings. Appropriate medical and physical therapy should be initiated as soon as the RSDS diagnosis is made since its clinical manifestations can extend several years.^[9] The cortical and medullary irregularity of the fourth metatarsal bone detected in only some images, attributing to a possible fracture diagnosis, was not supported by clinical findings and became indistinctive at the early follow-up radiographs.

In conclusion, although Jones fractures are considered a simple fracture and are almost always diagnosed with conventional radiographs, in young patients, concomitant talus fracture resulting from a plantar flexion-inversion injury should be kept in mind, particularly in patients whose complaints have extended over the natural healing course and the inability to bear weight is observed at the end of six weeks since the treatment modality varies and the risk of RSDS tends to be higher in this fracture type. Magnetic resonance imaging can be a valuable diagnostic tool for detecting talus fractures in these patients.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kavanaugh JH, Brower TD, Mann RV. The Jones fracture revisited. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1978;60:776-82.
- 2. Polzer H, Polzer S, Mutschler W, Prall WC. Acute fractures to the proximal fifth metatarsal bone: Development of classification and treatment recommendations based on the current evidence. Injury 2012;43:1626-32.
- 3. Ramponi DR. Proximal fifth metatarsal fractures. Adv Emerg Nurs J 2013;35:287-92.
- Lawrence SJ, Botte MJ. Jones' fractures and related fractures of the proximal fifth metatarsal. Foot & Ankle 1993;14:358-65.
- Zwitser EW, Breederveld RS. Fractures of the fifth metatarsal; diagnosis and treatment. Injury 2010;41:555-62.
- 6. Inal S, Inal C. An unusual variety of simultaneous fracture dislocation pattern: Medial swivel dislocation of talonavicular joint with displaced fractures of the fourth and fifth metatarsals. J Foot Ankle Surg 2013;52:501-4.
- 7. Koulouris G, Morrison WB. Foot and ankle disorders: Radiographic signs. Semin Roentgenol 2005;40:358-79.
- Nachtrab O, Cassar-Pullicino VN, Lalam R, Tins B, Tyrrell PN, Singh J. Role of MRI in hip fractures, including stress fractures, occult fractures, avulsion fractures. Eur J Radiol 2012;81:3813-23.
- 9. Roche AJ, Calder JD. Treatment and return to sport following a Jones fracture of the fifth metatarsal: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2013;21:1307-15.